# PRISON SERVICE OUR ALL July 2021 No 255

Special edition: Trauma and Psychotherapy in Prisons

## **Book Review:**

# Emotional Labour in Criminal Justice and Criminology

Edited by Phillips, J., Westaby, C., Fowler, A., and Waters, J. Publisher: Routledge (2021).

ISBN: 9780367152017

Price: £120.00

Philips et al's Emotional Labour in Criminal Justice and Criminology is an insightful and innovative book that examines emotional work seen throughout the criminal justice system. They address an array of experiences and circumstances that help to locate the aims of an organisation through the emotional labour of its workers, whilst also acknowledging how different workers experience their roles through emotional rules and techniques. This book presents a new lens to the study of criminal justice, offering observations of the emotional labour that workers provide within the service or institution. This goes beyond other writings on policing, the legal sector, prisons and probation. this book presents discussion largely missing from writings in criminal justice and criminology, making it a welcome and necessary contribution.

This work makes an important contribution as it provides an alternative discussion of criminal justice, in particular on work within prisons. It speaks of the internal complexity experienced by workers in prisons and begins to explore how policy, management and the diversity of imprisonment can leave impression on officers, rehabilitation workers and working prisoners, such Listeners as

(prisoners trained by the Samaritans offering emotional support for prisoners in distress). Whilst this review draws greater attention to chapters connected imprisonment, it is impressed by the innovative approach that this book takes to the many areas seen within criminal justice and criminology. Readers from across many different areas will go to this text and find interest and connection to their own work as well as being able to discover others. This is explored in two ways. The first part investigates current literature on the subject of emotional labour, bringing to the forefront the literature already offered, whilst justifying the need for further and future research on the subject. The second part offers primary research and analysis conducted by the chapter authors, which directly address the issues outlined in part one and further demonstrate the necessity and scope for research in emotional labour. Undeniably, the theoretical summaries and primary research used throughout will encourage readers to explore many areas presented within this book, which offer unique and important lessons to academic and criminal justice practitioners alike.

The first section of the book sets out the theoretical lens of emotional labour, taking influence from Hochschild¹ and others who discuss the ways that emotion has become a commodification within many areas of public services. This approach presents how organisations seek to use workers for emotional labour, drawing on their interactions with others to

produce an emotional state and also to encourage workers to display control over their emotions in line with the 'feeling rules' set out by the organisation. There are, of course consequences of this labour which many workers in criminal justice face and this is recognised early in this text. A key benefit of this is how the editors have collected and organised expert authors to build a contextualised picture of the emotional labour experienced within many fields of criminal justice.

The contextual differences offered within the chapters is the largest contribution this book offers, making the chapters important and useful to understand the complex experiences seen within policing, courts, prisons and probation. For example, when discussing prison officers, Nylander and Bruhn (chapter 5) recognise them as a 'crucial tool' (p.71) that without would leave prisons in disorder. This is due to the important role that they play in engaging with prisoners within the different environment, or subcultures, seen throughout the prison. Nylander and Bruhn also recognise the perception that this work has, referring to Hughes's<sup>2</sup> idea of 'dirty work' to recognise how the public view the role and how this sort of work is often seen as tainted presenting as 'low occupational prestige' (p.77). Any reader would consider this approach alongside the other chapters outlined on prisons with a bitter taste in their mouths, in particular when discussions turn to the consequences that emotional

<sup>1.</sup> Hochschild, A.R. (1983) The Managed Heart. Commercialization of Human Feeling (Berkeley: University of California Press)

<sup>2.</sup> Hughes, E. C. (1951). Work and the self. In J. H. Rohrer & M. Sherif (Eds.), ------- (pp. 313–323). New York: Harper & Brothers

labour has on prison officers and prison Listeners.

Robinson (chapter 8), pays credit to those prison workers who prisoners, for dying care demonstrating further the conflict that comes with the tainted work and the emotional response that officers experience. She offers an in-depth overview to how officers manage and control their emotions through strategies of deep level and surface acting, so that they can counteract the consequences of their emotional work. Robinson explores interviews with prison officers to conceptualise their strategies in dealing with the emotional consequences of death whilst also recognising the challenges with working in this For example, officers field. discussed seeing their own sadness as going against the occupational need of their role, which then strategies requires such emotional desensitising in order to protect themselves and their families from the emotional toll the work can take. A further example of emotional work in prison is offered by Nixon (chapter 15) where primary research was undertaken with Prison Listeners. This chapter is incredibly insightful, demonstrating consequences and techniques within emotional labour seen in other chapters, but with the added pressure of being imprisoned whilst holding the role. Nixon discusses these consequences through the voices of Listeners, noting the challenges of holding this role are complicated through managing their relationships with prison officers, staff, and also fellow prisoners. In particular the chapter highlights how Listeners engage forms of concealment when conducting their responsibilities, as the training and direction offered by the Samaritans can often conflict with the Listener's own beliefs in supporting distressed prisoners.

This book offers a serious and important contribution to the study and practice of criminal justice, through its originality and critical outlook on the work seen within many fields and experienced by many. Reading this text will inspire further research and engagement with the support needed for workers. Indeed, this is a question that maintains after reading the text, as there is an overwhelming feeling of injustice when managers do not consider the consequences of these emotional labours. An injustice that I hope readers will tackle after reading this book.

Lewis Simpson is a Lecturer in Criminology at Leeds Beckett University.

### **Respect and Criminal Justice**

By Gabriella Watson

Publisher: Oxford University Press

(2020)

ISBN: 9780198833345

Price: £80.00

This book is part of the Clarendon Studies in Criminology series which the OUP publishes. What prompted the author to write it was 'an enduring sense of curiosity as to why criminal justice institutions—whether by neglect or intent—collectively overlook or devalue a moral value fundamental as respect' (p.2). It enquires why in policing and imprisonment respect is 'more akin to a slogan than a foundational value of criminal justice practice' (p.1). Its core claim is that 'in policing and imprisonment, there is an overwhelming preoccupation with instrumental outcomes, with result that respect understood, at best, as a weak sideconstraint on the pursuit of those outcomes' (p. 2). By way of introduction, the author, an academic lawyer, claims (p.9) 'this book offers the first sustained examination of respect and criminal justice, extending a handful of shorter contributions on this theme.' It seeks to achieve its purposes by examining the philosophical and social scientific meaning of respect; and then considering two specific aspects of operational practice — 'stop and search' in policing and the serving of meals in prisons.

Watson sees criminal justice as characterised by two central considerations — instrumental outcomes and moral values — and concludes having reviewed the literature, policy documents and reports inquiring into operational practice, that the police and prisons are preoccupied with the former. Her consideration of police 'stop and search' practice provides a critique of its disproportionate and discriminatory use. The conclusion, that 'a respectful stop and search should be concerned primarily with process rather than outcome' (p.83followed 4). bv three recommendations for improving operational practice: regulation; the provision of training in procedural justice for police officers: and, ultimately, abolition of 'stop and search'.

The recommendations on police training reinforce the author's view that the imperative is to prioritise process over outcome. Practitioners may regard this as principled but not that operationally practicable. And there's the rub: in operational worlds grounded in a gritty practice, 'theory' seems subordinate to the practical outcome. One might reflect whether, as long as this dialectical tension defines the discourse (crudely 'theory' and 'practice'), reform is likely. For Watson, by framing procedural justice training in terms of respect, 'police officers would be introduced to an idea that has intuitive appeal...but which also has praxis, offering a coherent framework for the practice of stop and search' (p. 87).

The examination of prison practice starts with what may be considered a bold assertion: 'Given that respect is, almost without exception, one of the first values to emerge in conversations with inmates about what matters in prisons, one could be forgiven for assuming that scholars had given the issue thorough attention. This is not the case' (p.97). Watson acknowledges the exception provided by the Howard League's Journal in 2007, 'Reconsidering Respect. Its role in the Prison Service' by Michelle Butler and Deborah H. Drake<sup>1</sup> before exploring the institutional sociology of 'six landmark contributions to the genre' (p. 99): Sparks, Bottoms and Hays Prisons and the Problem of Order<sup>2</sup>; Bosworth's Engendering Respect<sup>3</sup>; Liebling's Prisons and their Moral Performance<sup>4</sup>; Crewe's The Prisoner Society<sup>5</sup>; Phillip's The Multicultural Prison<sup>6</sup>; and Bennett's The Working Lives of Prison *Managers*<sup>7</sup>. These texts acknowledged as an exception to the indifference to the respect deficit in the direction of penal policy in the decades after the publication of the Woolf report. Watson adds that penal policy has remained indifferent to such analysis, with the exception of Liebling's work developing the Measuring the Quality of Prison Life (MQPL) and Staff Quality of Life (SQL) instruments.

The critique of prison practice in the serving of meals includes an analysis of the Prison Service Instruction on Catering. Watson sees this as inculcating at best 'a form of respect towards prisoners and their dietary needs that is deeply procedural' (p.141). She

argues for a change of vocabulary as well as for a change of approach. Commenting on the report of HM Inspectorate's unannounced inspection of Grendon in 2013. Watson remarks that 'while it is encouraging that the Inspectorate has designated respect as one of four key conditions for a 'healthy prison', its preference for the terminology of 'respect outcomes' provides an important hint that its work is merely constrained and not characterised by respect' (p. 153). She goes on to draw the conclusion from the Inspectorate's Annual Report in 2018 that 'vast disparities in 'respect scores' from one year to the next raises questions as to whether the current approach to measuring respect is problematic' (p. 154).

This theme of the language used being wanting is referenced in Watson's conclusion (apropos the adoption of MQPL and SQL) that prisons are more interested in trying to measure respect that embed it culturally; and in reference to Crewe's discussion of 'definitional ambiguities of respect'. She concludes that Crewe's attempt to add a third to Darwall's two definitions of respect, isn't entirely successful, and that instead of refining it, 'we might take the view that such rigid categorisations of respect are best avoided.' This leads Watson to her recommendation for a move away from the 'misguided' assumption to frame an ethical standard as a rule or to seek to 'proceduralise' respect.

Watson argues for the inculcation of an ethical standard 'which unlike rule-following...does not specify the precise means to cultivate respect' (p. 189); and sees

'scope to clarify and embed respect from the ground-up, not only through quiet introspection—as described above—but through dialogue and consensus' (p. 189). How practical adopting such an approach would be may be questioned. In a therapeutic milieu it might have more mileage than in other less reflective operational contexts. However, the challenge this book presents to operational practitioners is a fair one even if the critique of other learned critics of criminal justice practice appears harsh in places.

William Payne is an independent member of the Editorial Board of the PSJ.

### **Prisoners on Prison Films**

By Bennett, J. and Knight, V. Publisher: Palgrave Macmillan (2021)

ISBN (hbk): 978-3-030-60948-1.

HBK: £44.99.

ISBN (e-book): 978-3-030-60949-8. E-book: £35.99.

There is a sequence in The Shawshank Redemption that sees film's two imprisoned protagonists — Tim Robbins' Andy and Morgan Freeman's Red watching Gilda. Their faces are bathed in the reflected glow of the film's screen. The appearance of Rita Hayworth as Gilda elicits broad grins from both men, whilst also offering a pleasing foreshadowing of the importance of Hayworth (or, at least, the poster of her on the wall of Andv's cell), as well as a nod to the title of Stephen King's original novella. I mention this scene because it speaks to the power of film. The Shawshank

<sup>1.</sup> Butler, M. and Drake, D. (2007) Reconsidering respect: It's role in Her Majesty's Prison Service. *Howard Journal of Criminal Justice*, 46(2), 115-127.

<sup>2.</sup> Sparks, Bottoms and Hay (1996) Prisons and the Problem of Order. Oxford: OUP.

<sup>3.</sup> Bosworth, M. (1999) Engendering Resistance: Agency and Power in Women's Prisons. Ashgate.

<sup>4.</sup> Liebling, A. (2004) Prisons and their Moral Performance: A Study of Values, Quality, and Prison Life. OUP.

<sup>5.</sup> Crewe, B. (2009) The Prisoner Society: Power, Adaptation and Social Life in an English Prison. Oxford: OUP.

<sup>6.</sup> Phillips, C. (2012) The multi-cultural prison: ethnicity masculinity and social relations among prisoners. Oxford: OUP.

<sup>7.</sup> Bennett, J. (2016) The Working Lives of Prison Mangers: Global Change, Local Culture and Individual Agency in the Late Modern Prison. London: Palgrave MacMillan.

Redemption is itself a love letter to cinema. It is littered with allusions to the 'Golden Age' of Hollywood and beyond. These 'Easter eggs' are manna to film obsessives such as myself, but this particular sequence speaks to something that rests at the core of the film viewing experience: there is a power to watching with others and reflecting upon what has been seen. Film can be more than simply transporting. It can be transformative.

This takes us to Bennett and Knight's new volume titled *Prisoners* on Prison Films. This builds upon work that has sought to explore prisoners' response to media. Knights' earlier work<sup>1</sup>, for example, looked at the role of the television in the prison. Jewkes' Captive Audience<sup>2</sup> examined the use of various types of media by prisoners to shape identity and cope with the experience of imprisonment. Here, Bennett and Knight narrow their focus to prisoners' responses to screenings of five prison-themed films. The scope of the research is, however, broad. It seeks to view the films as texts within a 'macro-level of political economy and dominant values' whilst also — through accessing the responses of the participants — situating them within а meso-level 'organisational and community practices' and a micro-level of the lived experience of the everyday (p.7). The conceit of the study, then, was to explore 'how the context of imprisonment shapes consumption' (p.2). This reception analysis allows the authors to use these representations imprisonment to unpack core themes of race, carceral power, rehabilitation and family relations, to provide a partial list. As such, the text fits with trends in visual criminology by using visual representations as research tools3. The screenings themselves were held in a prison that exclusively holds men serving indeterminate and life sentences. There was a core of ten participants who attended screenings. The following researcher-led group discussions were then supported by semistructured interviews with individual participants. With their focus upon individual films, each chapter acts as a point of departure to particular themes or topics. The authors provide a concise summary of the before unpacking participants' responses whilst also situating the discussion within both contemporary penological literature. To provide an example, the authors first outline the romanticised celebration of 'heroic resistance' in Winding Refn's Bronson (p.34). This then leads into a discussion of Crewe's (2009) analysis of carceral power⁴, as well as efforts made to contest it. This is interwoven with comments from the participants and their diverse readings of the film.

The films selected for the screenings were all British dramas released since 2008. They range from the gritty realism of 2013's Starred Up (dir. D. MacKenzie) to the more impressionistic *Bronson* (2008, dir. N Winding Refn), as well the formally experimental dir. Everyday (2012,Μ. Winterbottom). The authors highlight in their introduction that the films also 'assert some 'truth claims" (p.14). Screwed (2011, dir. R. Traviss), for example, is based on the experiences of a former prison officer, whereas We Are Monster (2014, dir. A. Petrou) is an examination of the murder of Zahid Mubarek at Feltham YOI (albeit with a focus upon his attacker). It is important to briefly note the ethical concerns relating to a study such as this. The films that were selected feature graphic scenes of violence, violent racist language sequences involving domestic abuse. As Bennett and Knight (p.17) state, '[t]here were [...] times when the emotional strain became overwhelming' and participants left the screenings. As they note, they followed-up with the affected men to discuss the troubling material. As I touch upon below, I will certainly be adopting the text as a teaching tool. These observations highlight the importance of contextualising the films for viewers and providing relevant trigger warnings.

In the conclusion, the authors point to avenues yet to be explored. For example, each of the films focuses upon men's experiences of imprisonment. Similarly, these representations predominantly feature the experiences of younger or middle-aged prisoners. A followstudy that attends incarcerated women's responses to representations of imprisonment, as well as those of an ageing prison population, would certainly be welcome. Further, a curiosity of the prison film genre is its tendency toward critical success. but commercial failure. In stark contrast, recent years have seen a marked increase in popular prison documentaries. A second volume that sees incarcerated men and women respond to representations of lived experiences would, likewise, be fascinating. This text remains, however, a valuable contribution and will likely be a mainstay on reading lists for some time. Indeed, this is a text that could be the centre piece of a penology-focussed taught course that leads students through difficult and sensitive topics. The individual chapters themselves could act as

<sup>1.</sup> Knight, V. (2016). Remote Control: television in prison. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

<sup>2.</sup> Jewkes, Y. (2002). Captive Audience: media, masculinity and power in prisons. Cullompton: Willan.

Pauwels, L. (2017). Key methods of visual criminology: an overview of different approaches and their affordances. In M.Brown and E.Carrabine (eds.) Routledge International Handbook of Visual Criminology. London: Routledge.

<sup>4.</sup> Crewe, B. (2009). The Prisoner Society: power, adaptation and social life in an English prison. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

jumping off points for classroom discussion.

Prisoners on Prison Films provides both a powerful analysis and analytical framework. This brings me back to the transformative power of cinema that I alluded to above. In the acknowledgments to the text, one of the authors mentions the thrill of first encountering Scorsese's (1976) Taxi Driver as a teenager being exposed to its heretofore unseen world. I can point to 1986's The Mission (dir. R Joffé) as similarly starting me on my own cinéaste's iourney. Without being too grand (and I realise that this is somewhat difficult having just referred to myself as a cinéaste), this is what art does. It challenges us. It introduces us to new ways of thinking or offers new perspectives on the familiar. To emphasise a point that the authors make in their introduction, the research participants 'are the people who are least heard [and yet] most directly affected bv consequences of representation' (p.3). In accessing the participants' insights, we are exposed to fresh readings of cinematic texts and new light is thrown upon familiar penological literature. In sharing in that collective experience of the film screenings — albeit at a slight remove — our own thinking about lived experience imprisonment can be transformed.

Dr Michael Fiddler is an Associate Professor of Criminology at the University of Greenwich.

### The Big Issue # 1454

12th March 2021 Special Edition 'Locked Up in Lockdown'

The subtitle of this special edition of *The Big Issue* is 'a unique look at life behind the bars when

the world closed, by people who were there' (page 1). Unlike frequent uses of the word 'unique', here it is accurately employed and not as hyperbole. There are 15 articles in the edition which range from thoughtful opinion pieces to insights into the personal experience of the pandemic of five prisoners. It also includes reflections of some of those, not prison staff, who have sought to help alleviate the additional burden the pandemic imposed on prisoners. Jonathan Aitken's description, with detail of specific operational changes which made positive differences, of how uniformed staff at Pentonville prison have responded ensures consideration of the unsung work of this group of frontline workers. As the part-time chaplain at the prison and a former prisoner himself his perspective is unique. Together the varied pieces amplify some important messages purpose about the imprisonment and the potential of those imprisoned as well as the skill and imagination of many who work with them.

The series of articles which highlight initiatives to relieve some of the added stress lockdown in the pandemic has caused are very brief, sometimes just a few paragraphs. They serve to shine a light on what may well be less widely known initiatives and energy. They include, the 'Making it Up' project which enables prisoners who are parents to make a story book for their children; "InHouse Records", which before the pandemic provided workshops across the spectrum of music making skills and which in lockdown has provided 50,000 copies of a magazine, AUX, to prisons in the South-east of England and in the East of the USA; the 'Penned Up' project at Lewes prison which encouraged prisoners to write; and a prison librarian who reached in to provide books remotely ordered (Amor Towles' A Gentleman in Moscow, Delia Owens' Where the Crawdads Sing and Art Spiegelman's Maus have been favourites). It also includes the recipe of a Bangladeshi chicken curry which the charity 'Food Behind Bars' sponsored and which proved a hit at Brixton prison.

The five prisoners' experiences of COVID inside highlight the impact of lockdown on mental health. Two of these accounts are provided by women who were prisoners (one from perspective of being an imprisoned mother). They emphasise the hardship (and the mental health implications) which little contact with other prisoners as well as visitors caused. One of the other former prisoners, a man recalled to prison having had his licence revoked for eight months in 2020, describes the guarantining of new receptions and interestingly asks whether the reduction in assaults and drug taking will justify much more restricted regimes once the pandemic is made manageable. Another male prisoner picks up a theme of the edition's opinion pieces, commenting 'We allow our thinking about our justice system to be driven by populism and vindictiveness...We recognise that morality is not always black and white and laud complex characters in fiction, yet are sanctimonious, judgemental, and vengeful in reality' (page 16). That's a neat of hiahliahtina inconsistencies in society's value system. The piece goes on to mention that prisoners also 'clapped for carers' and donated to charities.

The editorial draws attention to the tiny proportion of prisoners who will not be released as a means of underscoring the importance of rehabilitation. It focusses on the importance of helping the high proportion of

prisoners who cannot read well. It concludes by stating, 'If we're to understand the impact coronavirus has had [on prisoners] . . . we need to listen. Because sooner or later those inside will be out' (page 7).

The standout article was Erwin James' 'letter to my younger self'. It encapsulates many of the insights his various writings have previously provided. The importance of having hope and purpose, he tells himself, are key to being 'who you should have been' which only he can see.

However significant the teacher in education (as it was for James in prison) or other members of staff who validate a prisoner's sense of self-worth, it is the prisoner who has to do the change. Enabling that change is, or should be, the principal concern of all those who work in prisons. As prisons often differ markedly, one wonders how typical was the creative enabling work Jonathan Aitken records the governor and uniformed staff at Pentonville doing. The hope must

be that the learning from the pandemic enables more of what Aitken describes as the 'innovative jail craft' of first-line managers and arrangements which 'broke the mould of the old hierarchical divisions in prison management' (page 23). This is perhaps more significant because it was achieved in a prison which has attracted few plaudits in recent years.

William Payne is an independent member of the PSJ Editorial Board