Criminology and the crystal ball

Michelle Grundy, Michelle Rogerson and Ken Pease look to the future.

Looking at criminology databases using the keyword 'future' shows that the body of that work concerns the prediction not of crime, but of offender careers, most often of rates, times and types of reconviction. An excellent book entitled 'Prediction in Criminology' (Farrington and Tarling 1986) is exclusively about anticipating the course of criminal careers. Why would readers not be misled by such a title? Because that is what prediction in criminology has traditionally been about. The one book whose subtitle suggests that its contents should deal with future crime rather than future justice is Visions for Change: Crime and Justice in the Twenty-First Century (Muraskin and Roberts 1996). This does not fulfil the promise of its title as regards crime, although it makes some brave attempts in anticipating trends in justice. Even the science fiction and fantasy literature concentrates upon the criminal justice process rather than upon crime itself.

Looking forward

Just as criminologists have by and large neglected the future, so futurologists have largely neglected crime. None of the books in the World Future Society's bookshop bear a title which suggests it deals with crime (Future Times 1998). None of that Society's 'Sixty-Five Forecasts About Your Life' deals with crime (The Futurist 1998).

Is the crystal ball a useful tool of the criminologist? We think it is, so long as anticipation is based on a mixture of experience, evidence and creative imagination.

"Is the crystal ball a useful tool of the criminologist? We think it is, so long as anticipation is based on a mixture of experience, evidence and creative imagination."

Relationship between innovation and crime

Generally, what is the relationship between innovation and crime? There are invariably three phases.

- Innovation with neglect of crime consequences
- Reaping the crime harvest
- Retro-fitting a solution

If our military behaved like this, we would be defeated all the time. Sometimes we are. The French built the Maginot line, and the Germans unsurprisingly went round the end of it. The British in Singapore produced formidable defences based on the assumption that the Japanese would invade from that direction, but the Japanese sneakily came through the jungle in the hinterland. This myopia, while not uncommon in the conduct of war, is typically the way in crime control.

The general point is well illustrated by the introduction of uPVC windows, where design neglected the criminal opportunities which were offered by external uPVC beading, followed by the emergence of the crime method rendered easy by the material, followed by the re-design of the windows. The same three phases can be identified for every innovation one can think of, from coinage to mobile phones. The purpose of a future-oriented criminology would be to speed the process, and ideally, by considering crime consequences at the point of innovation, to prevent the crime harvest altogether.

Let us be specific. There will be many crime harvests. Predictable ones will track; the introduction of the Euro (unless very carefully managed); the Year 2000 bug (fraudulent 'fixes' are already around, and since any infrastructure breakdown on January 1st 2000 will be
interpreted as a bug problem, sabotage will create a window of crime opportunity); and the digital TV which, flagged by a distinctive aerial outside a home, will become a highly desirable object of theft once people recognise the delights of the technology.

A future orientated criminology

Lest this all seems distant from your workaday world, let us remind you of Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, which enjoins all local authorities to consider crime and disorder reduction while exercising all their duties! Taken literally, this means that any planning application; any change in the ways in which schools are run, streets are lit and refuse collected, must have its potential crime and disorder impact considered. Unless criminology becomes future-oriented, and quickly, it will rival the proverbial chocolate fireguard in its usefulness to local authorities in their statutory duty to consider crime consequences.

Michelle Grundy and Michelle Rogerson are researchers in the Applied Criminology Group, and Ken Pease OBE is Professor in the Department of Behavioural Science at Huddersfield University.
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Eighth Annual Meeting of the International Association for Forensic Psychotherapy

“FORENSIC PSYCHOTHERAPY AND THE PUBLIC SPHERE”

Friday May 7th to Sunday May 9th 1999

Stakis Hotel, Sheffield

We invite all professionals working with criminal offenders, to participate in this interdisciplinary conference which broadly addresses the place of the psychotherapies within Mental Health Care, the Criminal Justice and wider societal systems. Although the main emphasis will focus upon psychodynamic approaches, this meeting will aim to place these within the contexts of, for example, psychiatric services in hospitals, social services and the community, the law and the courts, ethics, public policy and the media. We hope to include research contributions, especially where they impact upon perceived need and provision of services.

Organised by the Forensic Psychiatry Section and the Centre for Psychotherapeutic Studies, University of Sheffield, in association with Rampton Hospital and Rotherham Priority NHS Trust.

Contact: Jane Allen-Brown, Centre for Psychotherapeutic Studies, 16 Claremont Crescent, Sheffield S10 2TA. Tel: 0114 222 2973. Email: j.allen-brown@sheffield.ac.uk

The Criminal Justice System Forum

The Criminal Justice System Forum (CJS Forum) is an electronic discussion list (listserv) designed to encourage open discussion of all aspects of community safety and the criminal justice process in the United Kingdom. The list draws together academics, practitioners, and those affected by crime and the judicial process to share views, experience, publications, details of events and to promote greater public understanding.

The list was started in May 1997 and already has over 200 members both in the UK and abroad drawing members from higher education, the voluntary sector, the legal professions, police, prisons, and probation amongst others. Hosted by Mailbase at the University of Newcastle and funded by the Higher Education Community, the Forum is free to join and open to anyone.

In addition to discussion on matters of contemporary interest, the Forum also seeks to act as an information and learning resource. The Home Office regularly posts details of new information on their web site and details of crime related jobs in higher education are also regularly posted to members.

For more information about the Forum, including how to join, please visit the web site (address below) or contact the list owner, Dr Simon Marshall, at c-j-s-forum-request@mailbase.ac.uk

Find the CJS Forum online at: www.mailbase.ac.uk/lists/c-j-s-forum