

EDITORIAL

For many, the relationship between crime and the economy is both controversial and difficult to prove. Certainly, current governmental thinking has attempted to remove any suggestion of a link between crime and socio-economic factors.

However as **Geoffrey Pearson** argues, at a local level especially there does appear to be some kind of connection between areas of multiple deprivation and high crime rate areas - 'the active human spirit' amongst the poor and disadvantaged striving to create alternative systems of status, achievement and social meaning under such circumstances.

Evidence on the link between crime and unemployment has suffered both a complexity of debate and susceptibility of argument providing a 'consensus of doubt' on any relationship. For **David Pyle**, one response is that recorded unemployment is less a reliable indicator of the state of the economy than economic indicators more closely related to the cycle in economic activity, such as consumer expenditure and gross domestic product, which are connected with levels of recorded crime.

The relationship between crime and unemployment is questioned by **Norman Dennis** and **Jon Davies**, although their analysis locates criminality firmly within the disintegrating family and liberation of males. Whatever the link, **Jim White** certainly highlights vividly the demoralisation and dislocation of one particular community in the face of mass unemployment resultant from the closure of the local colliery. Later in the issue **Andrew McCall** argues that little doubt can be cast on the link between unemployment and re-offending and **Alun Michael** takes a sideways look at recent research.

Several contributors to this issue discuss the notion of the underclass, first popularised a decade ago by the American sociologist, Charles Murray. **Patricia Morgan** suggests that one of the worst results of social dislocation and the disenchantment of communities is an underclass, an isolated mix of people outside the occupational system, dependent upon public assistance and illegal activity. The notion of an underclass, comes under strong criticism from **Bob Holman**, whose analysis suggests that

CONTENTS

CRIME AND THE ECONOMY

Crime and Social Exclusion	Geoffrey Pearson	3
Recreating the Family	Norman Dennis/Jon Davies	5
Crime, Unemployment & Economic Activity	David Pyle	6
Grimethorpe: No Pit No Point	Jim White	8
Crime, Community and Welfare	Patricia Morgan	10
A View from Easterhouse	Bob Holman	12
Criminals 'R' Us	Peter Wynarczyk	17
The Underclass: Regressive Re-alignment	Beatrix Campbell	18
Women, Crime and Poverty	Sandra Walklate	20
The Hidden Economy	David Kidd Hewitt	21
Action not Argument	Andrew McCall	22
Securing the City	John Blackmore	23
Counting the Cost of Drugs	Mike Goodman	24
Stating the Obvious	Alun Michael JP, MP	24

ISTD BULLETIN: NEWS & REVIEWS

Forthcoming Events	13
Resolving Crime in the Community: report	14
Serious Young Offenders: report	15
Contracts to Punish: report	16

COMMENT AND RESOURCES

Book Reviews	26
--------------	----

any explanation must be sought in poverty and inequality generating circumstances which facilitate crime.

Beatrix Campbell provides a further critique locating crime within gender analysis and an understanding of masculinity, and **Sandra Walklate** re-examines the recent feminisation of poverty thesis and suggests that poverty is a significant variable for both men and women in prompting law-breaking behaviour.

A further explanation for criminality is offered by **Peter Wynarczyk**, who argues that those involved adopt the same cognitive strategies as the rest of society, namely rational choice based upon antici-

pated costs and benefits. It is his contention that criminals are potentially all of us, as opposed to them.

Thinking about crime and serious money, **David Kidd Hewitt** draws our attention to the enormous cost of white collar crime, whilst **Mike Goodman** points to the huge profits made in the drugs trade, now reckoned to be second only to the arms trade as a world industry. Finally, **John Blackmore** describes efforts being made to draw the business community into crime prevention partnerships, through an appeal to enlightened commercial self-interest.

Peter Francis & Julia Braggins

Each issue of CJM focuses on a special area of criminological interest. CJM 19 will consider Gender, Crime and Criminal Justice. Contributions are welcome and will be considered for publication if sent to Julia Braggins by February 28th 1995. Publication, even of invited articles, cannot be guaranteed and we reserve the right to edit where necessary. Articles and letters can only be accepted on this basis.

CRIMINAL JUSTICE MATTERS

Number Eighteen, Winter 1994/95. Copyright 1994 ISTD.

Criminal Justice Matters is published by the INSTITUTE FOR THE STUDY AND TREATMENT OF DELINQUENCY

King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS Tel: 0171 873 2822 Fax: 0171 873 2823

ISTD Director: Julia Braggins; Secretary: Irene Frost; Programme Co-ordinator: Carol Martin;

Editorial Board: Julia Braggins (Editor), Yolande Burgin, Peter Francis, David Kidd-Hewitt, Roger Matthews.

Views expressed in Criminal Justice Matters are those of the contributors and are not necessarily those of ISTD.

Typesetting: Amberwood Graphics. Printing: Anglebury-Levey.

Cover photo: Coventry 1942. Hulton Deutsch Collection Ltd. Special thanks to Peter Francis for guest-editing this issue.

ISSN: 0962 7251